

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA KENDRICK, individually and  
as successor in interest to her now  
deceased husband, GARY KENDRICK,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; SAN  
DIEGO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT;  
SAN DIEGO SHERIFF WILLIAM  
GORE; SAN DIEGO SHERIFF'S  
DEPUTY STEVEN BLOCK; DOES 1-  
50,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15cv2615 GPC(RBB)

**ORDER FOLLOWING EARLY  
NEUTRAL EVALUATION  
CONFERENCE, SETTING RULE 26  
COMPLIANCE AND NOTICE OF  
CASE MANAGEMENT  
CONFERENCE**

On March 2, 2016, the Court held an early neutral evaluation conference in the above-entitled action. Settlement could not be reached in the case.

The Court discussed compliance with rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and issues the following orders:

1. No objections were presented to initial disclosure under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, rule 26(a)(1)(A-D). The parties are ordered to proceed with the initial

1 disclosure process. Any objections to initial disclosure will be resolved as required by  
2 rule 26.

3       2. The rule 26(f) conference shall be completed on or before **March 28, 2016**.

4       3. A joint discovery plan shall be lodged with Magistrate Judge Brooks on or  
5 before **April 7, 2016**.

6       4. The initial disclosures pursuant to rule 26(a)(1)(A-D) shall occur on or  
7 before **April 11, 2016**. Any motions addressing disputes related to initial disclosures  
8 shall be filed no later than thirty (30) days following the date the disclosures were due.  
9 The 30-day deadline will not be extended without a prior Court order; counsel cannot  
10 unilaterally extend the deadline. For example, ongoing meet-and-confer efforts or  
11 supplemental disclosures do not extend the deadline. **A failure to comply may bar the**  
12 **party from filing a corresponding motion.**


13       5. Counsel are ordered to participate in a telephonic attorney-only case  
14 management conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) with United  
15 States Magistrate Judge Ruben B. Brooks on **May 5, 2016, at 8:30 a.m.** Counsel for  
16 Plaintiff is to initiate the call.

17       Plaintiff's(s') counsel shall serve a copy of this order on all parties that enter this  
18 case after the date of this order.

19       Failure of any counsel or party to comply with this order may result in sanctions.

20       IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: March 2, 2016

  
Hon. Ruben B. Brooks  
United States Magistrate Judge

27 cc:

Judge Curiel  
All Parties of Record